1 2 3 4 5 6 7	XAVIER BECERRA Attorney General of California JOSHUA A. ROOM Supervising Deputy Attorney General CHRISTOPHER M. YOUNG Deputy Attorney General (SBN 238532) 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004 Telephone: (415) 703-5584; Fax: (415) 703-5480 Attorneys for Complainant  BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
8		]
9	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Agency Case No. 6234
10	LTC GEARY PHARMACY 5427 Geary Blvd.	ACCUSATION
11	San Francisco, CA 94121 Pharmacy License No. PHY 46142	·
12	BRANDON RUSSELL CARR	
13	541 Steiner Street #4 San Francisco, CA 94117	<u> </u>
14	Pharmacist License No. RPH 59724	
15 16	FANNY YAN 1825 Santiago Street San Francisco, CA 94116	
17	Pharmacist License No. RPH 69701	
18	JOHN LOONG-CHEONG LEE 25 McLaren Avenue	
19	San Francisco, CA 94121 Pharmacist License No. RPH 29855	
20	TERRANCE WILFRED JOSEPH CHAN	
21	821 Hawthorne Way Millbrae, CA 94030 Pharmacist License No. RPH 30987	
. 22		
23	NELLY MERZHERITSKY 5427 Geary Blvd.	
24	San Francisco, CA 94121 Pharmacy Technician Lic. No. TCH 54417	
25	YELENA REZNIK	
26	2593 23 <sup>rd</sup> Avenue #303 San Francisco, CA 94116 Pharmacy Technician Lic. No. TCH 36890	·
27	Respondents.	
28	Respondents.	,
		1
		(LTC GEARY PHARMACY) ACCUSATION

 Complainant alleges:

### **PARTIES**

- 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs.
- 2. On or about October 15, 2002, the Board issued Pharmacy License Number PHY 46142 to LTC Geary Pharmacy (Respondent Pharmacy). The Pharmacy License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and expired on October 1, 2017, and was thereafter canceled on October 2, 2017.
- 3. On or about July 6, 2007, the Board issued Pharmacist License No. RPH 59724 to Brandon Russell Carr (Respondent Carr). The Pharmacist License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on May 31, 2019, unless renewed.
- 4. On or about September 5, 2013, the Board issued Pharmacist License No. RPH 69701 to Fanny Yan (Respondent Yan). The Pharmacist License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on May 31, 2019, unless renewed. Respondent Yan was a Pharmacist-in-Charge at Respondent Pharmacy from November 10, 2014 through July 27, 2015.
- 5. On or about August 15, 1975, the Board issued Pharmacist License No. RPH 29855 to John Loong-Cheong Lee (Respondent Lee). The Pharmacist License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on September 30, 2018, unless renewed. Respondent Lee was a Pharmacist-in-Charge at Respondent Pharmacy from August 24, 2015 through February 20, 2017.
- 6. On or about July 28, 1977, the Board issued Pharmacist License No. RPH 30987 to Terence Wilfred Joseph Chan (Respondent Chan). The Pharmacist License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on November 30, 2019, unless renewed.
- 7. On or about February 13, 2004, the Board issued Pharmacy Technician License No. TCH 54417 to Nelly Merzheritsky (Respondent Merzheritsky). The Pharmacy Technician

License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on August 31, 2019, unless renewed.

8. On or about March 20, 2001, the Board issued Pharmacy Technician License No. TCH 36890 to Yelena Reznik (Respondent Reznik). The Pharmacy Technician License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on December 31, 2018, unless renewed.

#### JURISDICTION

- 9. This Accusation is brought before the Board under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.
- 10. Code section 4011 provides that the Board shall administer and enforce both the Pharmacy Law [Bus. & Prof. Code, § 4000 et seq.] and the Uniform Controlled Substances Act [Health & Safety Code, § 11000 et seq.].
- 11. Code section 4300, subdivision (a), provides that every license issued by the Board may be suspended or revoked.
- 12. Code section 4300.1 provides that the expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, suspension, or voluntary surrender of a license "shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license."
  - 13. Code section 4307, subdivision (a), states:
  - (a) Any person who has been denied a license or whose license has been revoked or is under suspension, or who has failed to renew his or her license while it was under suspension, or who has been a manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, partner, or any other person with management or control of any partnership, corporation, trust, firm, or association whose application for a license has been denied or revoked, is under suspension or has been placed on probation, and while acting as the manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, partner, or any other person with management or control had knowledge of or knowingly participated in any conduct for which the license was denied, revoked, suspended, or placed on probation, shall be prohibited from serving as a manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, partner, or in any other position with management or control of a licensee as follows:
  - (1) Where a probationary license is issued or where an existing license is placed on probation, this prohibition shall remain in effect for a period not to exceed five years.

(2) Where the license is denied or revoked, the prohibition shall continue until the license is issued or reinstated.

#### STATUTORY PROVISIONS

- 14. Code section 4076, subdivision (a)(6), states:
- (a) A pharmacist shall not dispense any prescription except in a container that meets the requirements of state and federal law and is correctly labeled with all of the following:
- (6) The name and address of the pharmacy, and prescription number or other means of identifying the prescription.
  - 15. Code section 4081, subdivision (a), states, in pertinent part:

All records of manufacture and of sale, acquisition, receipt, shipment, or disposition of dangerous drugs or dangerous devices shall be at all times during business hours open to inspection by authorized officers of the law, and shall be preserved for at least three years from the date of making. A current inventory shall be kept by every pharmacy or establishment holding a currently valid and unrevoked certificate, license, permit, or registration.

- 16. Code section 4101, subdivision (a), states:
- (a) A pharmacist may take charge of and act as the pharmacist-in-charge of a pharmacy upon application by the pharmacy and approval by the board. A pharmacist-in-charge who ceases to act as the pharmacist-in-charge of the pharmacy shall notify the board in writing within 30 days of the date of that change in status.
  - 17. Code section 4105, subdivisions (a) and (c) state:
- (a) All records or other documentation of the acquisition and disposition of dangerous drugs and dangerous devices by any entity licensed by the board shall be retained on the licensed premises in a readily retrievable form.
- (c) The records required by this section shall be retained on the licensed premises for a period of three years from the date of making.
  - 18. Code section 4113, subdivision (c), states:
- (c) The pharmacist-in-charge shall be responsible for a pharmacy's compliance with all state and federal laws and regulations pertaining to the practice of pharmacy.

11

21

- (f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.
- (g) Knowingly making or signing any certificate or other document that falsely represents the existence or nonexistence of a state of facts.
- (j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, of any other state, or of the United States regulated controlled substances and dangerous drugs.
- (o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by the board or by any other state or federal regulatory agency.
- (q) Engaging in any conduct that subverts or attempts to subvert an investigation of the board.
- 22. Code section 4305, subdivision (b), provides, in pertinent part, that operation of a pharmacy for more than 30 days without supervision or management of a pharmacist-in-charge shall constitute grounds for disciplinary action.
- 23. Code section 4306.5, subdivision (a), provides, in pertinent part, that unprofessional conduct for a pharmacist may include acts or omissions that involve, in whole or in part, the inappropriate exercise of his or her education, training, or experience as a pharmacist, whether or not the act or omission arises in the course of the practice of pharmacy or the ownership, management, administration, or operation of a pharmacy or other entity licensed by the board.
  - 24. Civil Code section 56.10, subdivision (a), states:
- (a) A provider of health care, health care service plan, or contractor shall not disclose medical information regarding a patient of the provider of health care or an enrollee or subscriber

of a health care service plan without first obtaining an authorization, except as provided in subdivision (b) or (c).

- 25. Health & Safety Code section 11165, subdivision (d), states, in pertinent part:
- (d) For each prescription for a Schedule II, Schedule III, or Schedule IV controlled substance, as defined in the controlled substances schedules in federal law and regulations, the dispensing pharmacy, clinic, or other dispenser shall report to the Department of Justice as soon as reasonably possible, but not more than seven days after the date a controlled substance is dispensed, in a format specified by the Department of Justice.
- 26. Health & Safety Code section 111250, states: Any drug or device is adulterated if it consists, in whole or in part, of any filthy, putrid, or decomposed substance.
- 27. Health & Safety Code section 111255, states: Any drug or device is adulterated if it has been produced, prepared, packed, or held under conditions whereby it may have been contaminated with filth, or whereby it may have been rendered injurious to health.
- 28. Health & Safety Code section 111295, states: It is unlawful for any person to manufacture, sell, deliver, hold, or offer for sale any drug or device that is adulterated.
- 29. Health & Safety Code section 111330, states: Any drug or device is misbranded if its labeling is false or misleading in any particular.
- 30. Health & Safety Code section 111335, states: Any drug or device is misbranded if its labeling or packaging does not conform to the requirements of Chapter 4 (commencing with Section 110290).
- 31. Health & Safety Code section 111440, states: It is unlawful for any person to manufacture, sell, deliver, hold, or offer for sale any drug or device that is misbranded.

#### REGULATORY PROVISIONS

- 32. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1711, states in pertinent part:
- (d) Each pharmacy shall use the findings of its quality assurance program to develop pharmacy systems and workflow processes designed to prevent medication errors. An investigation of each medication error shall commence as soon as is reasonably possible, but no

later than 2 business days from the date the medication error is discovered. All medication errors discovered shall be subject to a quality assurance review.

- (e) The primary purpose of the quality assurance review shall be to advance error prevention by analyzing, individually and collectively, investigative and other pertinent data collected in response to a medication error to assess the cause and any contributing factors such as system or process failures. A record of the quality assurance review shall be immediately retrievable in the pharmacy. The record shall contain at least the following:
  - 1. the date, location, and participants in the quality assurance review;
- 2. the pertinent data and other information relating to the medication error(s) reviewed and documentation of any patient contact required by subdivision (c);
  - 3. the findings and determinations generated by the quality assurance review; and,
  - 4. recommend changes to pharmacy policy, procedure, systems, or processes, if any.

The pharmacy shall inform pharmacy personnel of changes to pharmacy policy, procedure, systems, or processes made as a result of recommendations generated in the quality assurance program.

- (f) The record of the quality assurance review, as provided in subdivision (e) shall be immediately retrievable in the pharmacy for at least one year from the date the record was created.
  - 33. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1715, states in pertinent part:
- (a) The pharmacist-in-charge of each pharmacy shall complete a self-assessment of the pharmacy's compliance with federal and state pharmacy law. The assessment shall be performed before July 1 of every odd-numbered year. The primary purpose of the self-assessment is to promote compliance through self-examination and education.
- (b) In addition to the self-assessment required in subdivision (a) of this section, the pharmacist-in-charge shall complete a self-assessment within 30 days whenever:

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 38. Respondent Pharmacy, located in San Francisco, California, engaged in billing fraud, drug take-back for reuse, maintained expired drugs in its current inventory, failed to maintain adequate records, and failed to ensure compliance with applicable laws as described below.
- 39. The investigation in this matter initiated on or about June 4, 2015. Respondent Yan was the pharmacist-in-charge at Respondent Pharmacy from November 10, 2014 through July 27. 2015. Respondent Lee was the pharmacist-in-charge from August 24, 2015 through February 20, 2017. The next pharmacist-in-charge, Mr. Reddy, did not begin as pharmacist-in-charge at Respondent Pharmacy until April 13, 2017.
- 40. On August 6, 2015 investigator Shafir conducted an inspection at Respondent Pharmacy, and on March 16, 2017, investigator Shafir returned to Respondent Pharmacy with investigator Krumer, a Russian-speaking investigator, for a follow-up investigation. The following violations of California Pharmacy Law were identified and substantiated:
- Respondent Pharmacy was in possession of numerous quantities of expired and a. unused returned bubble-cards, drug samples, drugs in plastic bags without expiration dates or lot numbers, and prescription vials from other pharmacies, without retaining any records of acquisition of these drugs. These drugs were found in Respondent Pharmacy's dispensing area. Board audits following the 2015 and 2017 inspections of Respondent Pharmacy showed variances totaling 44,154 tablets with unaccounted acquisition and disposition records.
- Respondent Pharmacy took back expired and unused returned bubble-cards from various skilled nursing and assisted-living facilities, totaling 465 bubble cards, for destruction. Respondent Pharmacy was instructed to stop taking back medications after the August 2015 investigation, and was instructed on the requirements for obtaining a wholesaler's permit to act as a reverse distributor. The subsequent 2017 investigation revealed that Respondent Pharmacy continued to engage in taking back medications after the 2015 investigation.
- Expired drugs were found in Respondent Pharmacy's current inventory at the August 2015 inspection, although Respondent Pharmacy had been instructed subsequent to an earlier December 20, 2013, inspection to ensure expired drugs were not stored in the current inventory.

Expired drugs were again found in Respondent Pharmacy's current inventory at the 2017 investigation.

- d. During the 2017 inspection, investigators found 23 plastic bags containing dangerous drugs without expiration dates or lot numbers in Respondent Pharmacy.
- e. Respondent Pharmacy was reusing adulterated and/or misbranded drugs for patient dispensing.
- f. 21 discarded, empty bubble cards and labels taken back from facilities Respondent Pharmacy serviced were found in the trash during the 2015 inspection. Respondent Pharmacy failed to reverse the insurance billing for these delivered medications, and reused the medications for dispensing again to different patients. Pharmacy Technicians engaged in reusing returned and expired medications in the back room of the pharmacy, without appropriate supervision by a pharmacist.
- g. On June 17, 2015, Respondent Pharmacy filled prescription RX#N1352749 with morphine sulfate 15mg tablets, instead of the prescribed morphine sulfate 30mg tablets, without consent from the prescriber.
- h. Respondent Pharmacy failed to complete a quality-assurance review within 2 days following a medication error described above pertaining to prescription RX#N1352749, and failed to maintain a record of the quality-assurance review for a period of one year. Respondent Pharmacy was previously educated and issued a correction on the policy and procedures for the quality-assurance program after the December 20, 2013, inspection.
- i. Between August 1, 2012, and August 19, 2015, Respondent Pharmacy failed to transmit the dispensed controlled-substance prescription data to the Department of Justice's Controlled Substance Utilization Review and Evaluation System (CURES) on a weekly basis.
- j. At the 2017 inspection, a Board inspector found patient confidential medical information in the garbage cans outside Respondent Pharmacy.
- k. At the 2017 inspection, Respondent Pharmacy failed to have in its records a current biennial inventory of controlled substances. The last complete DEA inventory of controlled

substances was conducted on November 3, 2014, and only a partial inventory for controlled substances was done on October 10, 2016.

- I. From about December 20, 2013, through August 6, 2015, Respondent Pharmacy dispensed prescriptions under the name "LTC Geary Pharmacy," when the Board records during this period showed the name to be "Geary Pharmacy."
- m. Respondent Yan did not notify the Board she ceased being the pharmacist-in-charge until she was instructed to do so by inspectors on or about October 22, 2015, more than 30 days of her last day as pharmacist-in-charge at Respondent Pharmacy, July 27, 2015.
- n. Respondent Lee did not have or maintain a record of a self-assessment of Respondent Pharmacy that he was required to conduct within 30 days of becoming the pharmacist-in-charge on August 24, 2015. Respondent Lee could only produce a self-assessment completed on February 14, 2017.
- o. Respondent Merzheritsky provided false information to the inspector on August 6, 2015, when she gave a false name of the new pharmacist-in-charge.
- p. Respondent Reznik, during the 2015 inspection, provided false information regarding the 21 bubble cards found in the trash. Further, during the 2017 inspection, Respondent Reznik engaged in concealing expired drug samples, prescription vials/bubble cards from various other pharmacies, and the 23 plastic bags containing dangerous drugs without any expiration dates or lot numbers. Respondent Reznik provided false information regarding the presence of these drugs in the pharmacy dispensing area. Respondent Reznik told someone on the phone, in Russian, in the presence of investigator Krumer, that she "did what she could" regarding the 2017 inspection.
- 41. Respondent Pharmacy's retail pharmacy license was canceled effective on or about July 15, 2017.

### FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Maintain Records of Acquisition and Disposition of Medications)

42. Respondent Pharmacy, Respondent Yan, and Respondent Lee are subject to disciplinary action under Code section(s) 4301(j) and/or (o), 4081(a), 4105 (a) and/or (c), and/or

4113(c), for failure to maintain records of sale, acquisition, receipt, shipment, or disposition of dangerous drugs or dangerous devices, and/or failure to maintain such records for at least three years from the date of making the records, as described above in paragraphs 39 and 40(a), and herein incorporated.

#### SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unlicensed Wholesaler Activity)

43. Respondent Pharmacy, Respondent Yan, and Respondent Lee are subject to disciplinary action under Code section(s) 4301(j) and/or (o), 4160(a), and/or 4113(c), for acting as a reverse distributor by taking back expired and unused bubble cards from various skilled-nursing and assisted-living facilities, as described above in paragraphs 39, 40(a), and 40(b), and herein incorporated.

### THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Adulterated Drugs)

44. Respondent Pharmacy, Respondent Yan, and Respondent Lee are subject to disciplinary action under Code section(s) 4301(j) and/or (o), 4113(c), and/or Health and Safety Code sections 11250, 111255, and/or 111295, for maintaining in the current inventory expired drugs, drugs in plastic bags without expiration dates or lot numbers, and prescription vials from other pharmacies in the dispensing area, as described above in paragraphs 39, 40(a), 40(c), 40(d), 40(e), 40(f), and/or 40(p), and incorporated herein.

#### FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Misbranded Drugs)

45. Respondent Pharmacy and Respondent Lee are subject to disciplinary action under Code section(s) 4301(j) and/or (o), 4113(c), and/or Health and Safety Code sections 111330, 111440, and/or 111335, for improperly maintaining 23 plastic bags containing dangerous drugs without expiration dates or lot numbers in Respondent Pharmacy, as discovered during the 2017 inspection, and as described above in paragraphs 39, 40(a), 40(c), and/or 40(d), and incorporated herein.

28 | //

2.7

### 3 4

# 5

# 6

## 7 8

### 9

### 10

### 11

### 12

# 13

## 14 15

# 16

# 17

### 18

### 19

### 20

### 21

### 22

# 23

# 24

## 25 26

III

///

### 27

### 28

### FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Reusing Adulterated/Misbranded Drugs for Patient Dispensing)

Respondent Pharmacy, Respondent Yan and Respondent Lee are subject to 46. disciplinary action under Code section(s) 4301(j) and/or (o), 4113(c), and/or 4169(a)(1-5), for maintaining numerous boxes with expired and unused bubble cards transferred to the pharmacy for destruction from facilities not licensed by the Board, and for reusing these adulterated and/or misbranded drugs for patient dispensing, as described above in paragraphs 39, 40(a), 40(b), 40(c), 40(d), 40(e), and/or 40(f), and incorporated herein.

### SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct-Adulterated/Misbranded Drugs in Current Inventory)

47. Respondent Pharmacy, Respondent Yan, and Respondent Lee are subject to disciplinary action under Code section(s) 4301(j) and/or (o), 4113(c), and/or 4301(b), (c), and/or (f), for unprofessional conduct for failure to quarantine expired drugs from current inventory, and/or for maintaining expired drug samples, drugs in plastic bags without expiration dates or lot numbers, and/or prescription vials from other pharmacies in Respondent Pharmacy's dispensing area, and for dispensing adulterated drugs, as described above in paragraphs 39, 40(a), 40(b), 40(c), 40(d), 40(e), and/or 40(f), and incorporated herein.

### SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct-Insurance Fraud and Dispensing Adulterated/Misbranded Drugs)

48. Respondent Pharmacy is subject to disciplinary action under Code section(s) 4301(j) and/or (o), and/or 4301(b), (c), and/or (f), for unprofessional conduct, where 21 discarded bubble cards and labels were located in the trash on August 6, 2015, records indicate that Respondent Pharmacy did not reverse the insurance billing, and Respondent Pharmacy reused the medication to fill new prescriptions, as described above in paragraphs 39, 40(e), and/or 40(f), and incorporated herein.

/

#### EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct-Omission of Supervision by Pharmacist)

49. Respondent Yan, Respondent Lee, and Respondent Chan are subject to disciplinary action under Code section(s) 4301(j) and/or (o), and/or 4306.5(a), for failure to use professional judgment and the inappropriate exercise of their education, training, or experience as pharmacists. Specifically, as described above in paragraphs 39, 40(a), 40(b), 40(c), 40(e), and/or 40(f), Respondents Yan, Lee, and Chan failed to supervise activities of pharmacy staff who were engaged in taking back expired and unused bubble cards intended for destruction, and reusing them for patient dispensing.

### NINTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Variation from Prescription and Failure to Complete Quality Assurance Review)

50. Respondent Pharmacy and Respondent Yan are subject to disciplinary action under Code section(s) 4301(j) and/or (o), 4113(c), and/or California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1716, for filling prescription RX#N1352749 on or about June 17, 2015, with the wrong medication, as described above in paragraphs 39 and 40(g), and incorporated herein.

Respondents Pharmacy and Yan are further subject to disciplinary action under Code section(s) 4301(j) and/or (o), 4113(c), and/or California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1711(d) and (e), for failure to develop pharmacy systems and workflow processes designed to prevent medication errors, and for failing to comply with the quality assurance program, as described above in paragraphs 39 and 40(h), and incorporated herein.

### TENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Report CURES Data)

51. Respondent Pharmacy and Respondent Yan are subject to disciplinary action under Code section(s) 4301(j) and/or (o), 4113(c), and/or Health and Safety Code section 11165(d), for failure to transmit the dispensed controlled substance prescriptions data to CURES on a weekly basis, as described above in paragraphs 39 and 40(i), and incorporated herein.

///

### ELEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Maintain Confidentiality of Patient Information)

52. Respondent Pharmacy and Respondent Lee are subject to disciplinary action under Code section(s) 4301(j) and/or (o), 4113(c), and/or Civil Code section 56.10(a), for failure to maintain the confidentiality of patient medical information, as described above in paragraphs 39 and 40(j), and incorporated herein.

### TWELFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Maintain Controlled Substance Inventory)

53. Respondent Pharmacy and Respondent Lee are subject to disciplinary action under Code section(s) 4301(j) and/or (o), 4113(c), and/or California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1718, for failure to complete a DEA biennial inventory of dangerous drugs and controlled substances, and for failing to maintain records of the DEA biennial inventory for a period of three years, as described above in paragraphs 39 and 40(k), and incorporated herein.

### THIRTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Inaccurate Prescription Container Labeling)

54. Respondent Pharmacy and Respondent Yan are subject to disciplinary action under Code section(s) 4301(j) and/or (o), 4113(c), and/or Code section 4076(a)(6), for dispensing prescriptions in improperly labeled containers, and for failing to notify the Board of the pharmacy name change within 30 days, as described above in paragraphs 39 and 40(l).

### FOURTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure of Pharmacist to Supervise Pharmacy Technicians)

55. Respondent Yan, Respondent Lee, Respondent Chan, and Respondent Carr are subject to disciplinary action under Code section(s) 4301(j) and/or (o), 4113(c), and/or California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1793.7(b) and/or (e), for failure to supervise pharmacy technicians at Respondent Pharmacy. Respondents Yan, Lee, Chan, and Carr were not fully aware of all activities involved in the dispensing of medications, and were responsible for the actions of the pharmacy technicians, as described above in paragraphs 39, 40(a), 40(b), 40(c), 40(d), 40(e), 40(f), and/or 40(j), and incorporated herein.

9 10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

### FIFTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Respondent Yan-Failure to Notify Board of Change in Status as Pharmacist-in-Charge)

Respondent Yan is subject to disciplinary action under Code section(s) 4301(j) and/or (o), 4101(a), and/or 4113(c) for failure to notify the Board that she ceased her role as pharmacistin-charge at Respondent Pharmacy within 30 days of her last day, as described above in paragraphs 39 and 40(m), and incorporated herein.

### SIXTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Respondent Lee-Failure to Complete Self-Assessment by Pharmacist-in-Charge)

Respondent Lee is subject to disciplinary action under Code section(s) 4301(j) and/or (o), 4113(c), and/or California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1715(b)(2), for failure to complete and/or maintain a self-assessment within 30 days of him becoming pharmacist-incharge of Respondent Pharmacy on August 24, 2015, as described above in paragraphs 39 and 40(n), and incorporated herein.

### SEVENTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Respondent Lee-Unprofessional Conduct)

58. Respondent Lee is subject to disciplinary action under Code section(s) 4301(j) and/or (o), 4113(c), and/or 4301(g) and/or (q), for providing false information regarding the presence of expired drug samples, prescription vials/bubble cards from various other pharmacies, and 23 plastic bags containing dangerous drugs without any expiration dates or lot numbers found in Respondent Pharmacy's dispensing area during the 2017 inspection, as described above in paragraphs 40(a), 40(b), 40(c), and 40(d), and incorporated herein.

#### EIGHTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Respondent Pharmacy-Operation of Pharmacy without Pharmacist-in-Charge)

59. Respondent Pharmacy is subject to disciplinary action under Code section(s) 4301(j) and/or (o), and 4305(b), for operating Respondent Pharmacy for more than 30 days without a pharmacist-in-charge, specifically, from February 20, 2017, through April 13, 2017, as described above in paragraph 39, and incorporated herein.

### NINETEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Respondent Merzheritsky-Unprofessional Conduct)

60. Respondent Merzheritsky is subject to disciplinary action under Code section(s) 4301(j) and/or (o), and 4301(f) and/or (q), by providing false information during the 2015 inspection as to the identity of the new pharmacist-in-charge, as described above in paragraph 40(o), and incorporated herein.

### TWENTIETH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Respondent Reznik-Unprofessional Conduct)

61. Respondent Reznik is subject to disciplinary action under Code section(s) 4301(j) and/or (o), and 4301(f) and/or (q), for making false statements during the 2015 inspection, and for concealing expired drug samples, prescription vials/bubble cards, and the 23 plastic bags containing dangerous drugs without any lot numbers or expiration dates, and providing false information to investigators, as described above in paragraphs 40(a), 40(b), 40(c), 40(d), 40(f), and/or 40(p), and incorporated herein.

#### OTHER MATTERS

- 62. Pursuant to Code section 4307, if discipline is imposed on Pharmacy License Number PHY 46142 issued to Respondent Pharmacy, it shall be prohibited from serving as a manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner of a licensee for five years if Pharmacy License Number PHY 46142 is placed on probation, or until reinstatement if Pharmacy License Number PHY 46142 is revoked.
- 63. Pursuant to Code section 4307, if discipline is imposed on Pharmacy License Number PHY 46142 issued to Respondent Pharmacy while Respondent Merzheritsky was the owner, and had knowledge of or knowingly participated in any conduct for which the licensee was disciplined, Respondent Merzheritsky shall be prohibited from serving as a manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner of a licensee for five years if Pharmacy License Number PHY 46142 is placed on probation, or until reinstatement if Pharmacy License Number PHY 46142 is revoked.

### **DISCIPLINARY CONSIDERATIONS**

64. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondents, Complainant alleges that Respondent Pharmacy received a citation on June 28, 2013, for violations of Code section 4104(b) and Health and Safety Code section 11165(d), and was ordered to pay fines amounting to \$3,500, which Respondent Pharmacy paid. That citation is now final and is incorporated by reference herein. Respondent Pharmacy further received a citation on January 23, 2015, for violations of Code sections 4076(a), 4115(e), and 4342, Health and Safety Code section 11159.2, and California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1715(b), and was ordered to pay fines amounting to \$2,250, which Respondent Pharmacy paid. That citation is now final and is incorporated by reference herein.

#### PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy License No. PHY 46142 issued to LTC Geary Pharmacy (Respondent Pharmacy);
- 2. Revoking or suspending Pharmacist License No. RPH 69701 issued to Fanny Yan (Respondent Yan);
- 3. Revoking or suspending Pharmacist License No. RPH 29855 issued to John Lee (Respondent Lee);
- 4. Revoking or suspending Pharmacist License No. RPH 30987 issued to Terrance Chan (Respondent Chan);
- 5. Revoking or suspending Pharmacist License No. RPH 59724 issued to Brandon Carr (Respondent Carr);
- 6. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician License No. TCH 54417 issued to Nelly Merzheritsky (Respondent Merzheritsky);
- 7. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician License No. TCH 36890 issued to Yelena Reznik (Respondent Reznik);